

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,726	07/28/2003	Suresh Marisetty	884.108US2	3999	
21186 . 75	90 09/15/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			MASKULINSK	MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C	
	S, MN 55402-0938	· · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2113		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/628,726 MARISETTY ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Maskulinski	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions.	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da). pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e filed within two month	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			в арреат. Опісе
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	The state of the s	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-4 and 20-23</u> work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed an	rendment
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-4 and 21-23. Claim(s) objected to: 20. Claim(s) rejected: 5-19 and 24-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.



REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13.

Other: see attached sheet for status of claims.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

?

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments require a further search and the arguments presented have been addressed in the Final Office Action.

Art Unit: 2113

Status of Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. Claims 5-16 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowers, U.S. Patent 6,308,285 B1.
- 3. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Falik et al., U.S. Patent 6,065,078.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers, U.S. Patent 6,308,285 B1, and further in view of Fujii et al., U.S. Patent 5,892,898.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 21-23 are allowed.

Conclusion

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/628,726

511/00/11/01 (Mainbor: 10/020).

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Maskulinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-3649. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MM

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100